

Polygons

by "Troy"

**reprinted from "The Dozenal Journal" no. 4
of the Dozenal Society of Great Britain**
(with amendments & new illustrations)

(Numeration in this article is dozenal, using the sequence:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 7ε 10 11 12 ... 19 17 1ε 20 ...,

where 7 stands for ten units and ε for eleven)

PRACTICAL POLYGONS

REGULAR POLYGONS have fascinated and influenced people throughout history. Few indeed do not respond in some degree to the symmetry and character exhibited by these shapes, each unique and yet plainly of a family.

Straight-sided, they all yet inhabit a circle precisely - in fact, the circle is their final expression, the Nirvana of regularity - and the rotational and lateral symmetries thus ordained give the regular polygons not only their visible perfections of mathematical form but also the indispensably useful geometry which some of them afford to Nature and engineering alike. The equilateral triangle, the square, the regular hexagon, the circle itself - all combine purity with practicality. Selected regular polygons are quite fundamental to our comprehension of space and are hence perhaps worthy of more appreciation and study than is presently fashionable in education.

It is hoped, in this article, to emphasise the way in which regular polygons can be seen as two-dimensional expressions of numbers: pictures, as it were, which illustrate more clearly than does arithmetic the connections between numbers themselves and what we can perceive, measure and use in the real world.

THE PLANE TRUTH

If what we see is to approach the actuality, it must be seen in two dimensions. While we may be impressed by the view of, say, the Parthenon when seen from an angle which shows it to be a solid and imposing structure, we are nevertheless obliged to move and look directly at one face at a time in order to discern the real shape: a viewpoint which gives the true angles and proportions of the front of the Parthenon renders its flanks invisible. We see but partially into the third dimension of space and optical illusions abound in line-of-sight perception (it is recorded that, during the building of Kilsby tunnel on the London and Birmingham railway, three men were killed as they tried to jump, one after the other, over the mouth of a shaft in a game of follow-my-leader. See "The Railway Navvies" by Terry Coleman (Pelican A903)) and accurate perception is confined to the lateral plane.


Hence, despite the availability of various methods of pictorial representation, engineers still insist on three-view orthographic projection for their working drawings and demand auxiliary projections to show the true shapes of angled surfaces. Architects know that the true plan determines the building. Plane, two-dimensional figures are thus paramount to our understanding of space and proportion; and the regular polygons - from line-segment to circle - constitute a definitive basic set by which order may be perceived in, and structure imposed on, our surroundings.

Not the least of these virtues is the insight given into the nature of numbers themselves: expressing numbers by presenting them as vertices of regular polygons shows very clearly properties which are not always evident from mere counting - particularly to children, but also to many adults who have never really understood numbers - and the educational need for such understanding will not, surely, be disputed in these days of declining numeracy?

One is a dimensionless point. **Two** is the first line number: we need two points between which to perceive length, the first dimension. It is possible to think of two as a regular two-sided polygon which has length, but no area.

The difference between two and three is not merely one unit: it is the difference between a line segment with only one dimension and an equilateral triangle which has two dimensions.

Three is seen to be the first **area** or **surface** number and we could indeed measure area in terms of equilateral triangles. Each angle of the equilateral triangle is two-thirds of a right-angle and the shape will bisect into two drawing-board set-squares with angles of $1/3$, $2/3$ and 1 right-angle, each having a hypotenuse exactly twice the length of the shortest side. (It is important to note that these natural and convenient fractions of the right-angle cannot be expressed by the Grade protractor, which is the basis of the decimal-metric system.)



Constructing the regular trigon in its native circle - easily done by children - shows also that with three, 'handed' rotation is possible: we can travel round 0- 1 - 2 - 0 or 0 - 2 - 1 - 0. whereas two is simply an **alternating** number (cf. electric motors). From these easy beginnings, it already emerges that **three** is a number of considerable significance (well before any considerations of trigonometry). The trigon shows the character of the number, in a way that arithmetic cannot, so that it can be understood by even the youngest. Evidently, any number-system that takes insufficient account of the importance of three is going to run into difficulties quite rapidly. (Note: 3 is the second prime number and, in conjunction with 2, controls the positions of all other primes: these are all members of the set $(2 \times 3)^n \pm 1$, $n \geq 0$. which is the least set to contain them.)


FOUR is the square number, and the square is the optimum shape for area measurement (we could tessellate equilateral triangles or regular hexagons to reckon area, but they have lengths in three directions, whereas the square has only the two directions). The properties of this right-angled figure, fundamental to mathematics and just about every practical activity that exists, are generally well-known and need little amplification here. It is worth mentioning, however, that four is also the first **space** number: four points will delineate a tetrahedron and so contain a **volume**.

So, the square of the first prime number has its own properties far in excess of mere evenness and is a foundation-stone of any number structure; yet, ten will not accept four as a factor. Twelve, of course, will. A bisected square gives the companion set-square, with half-right-angles.

MODULAR FIGURES


It was mentioned earlier that the characteristics of numbers could be displayed by polygons. This depiction is enhanced if **modular** figures are drawn: for each number N, circles are drawn with their circumferences divided into N equal parts; and modular shapes appear as, starting from a zero (top), we "jump" round the circumference by ones, then by twos, threes, and so on, joining successive points with straight lines until we arrive back at zero.

MOD. 4



Four thus gives a square (4/1) and a straight line-segment (4/2). The line-segment is, in this context, to be regarded as a two-sided figure and will appear with each **even** number.

With division of the circle into two, three and four equal parts, we have obtained regular shapes which are, above all, useful - indeed, one may say, indispensable - in that they form an indissoluble bond between mathematics and practical necessity. Line, triangle, square: length; the basis for geometry, trigonometry and engineering construction; the right-angle and optimum shape for areal mensuration. Not a bad score! Regular trigons and squares will tessellate in the plane with each other as well as with themselves




- and, so far, all seems harmonious and clear.

INTO THE SHADOWS

FIVE is a number at the edge of darkness. It is the third prime number and, for practical purposes, the last one for which there is an exact construction. The construction is considerably more complex than hitherto, but is mathematically interesting: it involves division of a line-segment in Mean and Extreme ratio - the GOLDEN SECTION - and will divide the circle to give a regular pentagon. (See DOZENAL REVIEW No. *30). The shapes in the set are more suited to decoration and psychology than to engineering and mensuration and are much favoured for symbolic and magical purposes.

MOD. 5



The powerfully-attractive star figure, used in Heraldry, is 'good' when this way up, and 'bad' when inverted.


Five is also the number of digits on the human hand, and it is a matter for regret that the primitive resort to finger-count has allowed this otherwise rather impractical number to usurp the rightful place of three as the second prime factor of the counting base in general use.

Regular pentagons will not tessellate in the plane with themselves or with other regular polygons (there is a three-dimensional relationship with a dozen: twelve regular pentagons form a regular dodecahedron), but, by comparison with the illumination given by two and three, five is a shaded and mysterious number: mathematically significant but of limited use. We should respect five and allow for its angular importance; but should not succumb to its esoteric charms when tackling arithmetic!


DAYLIGHT AGAIN

SIX is the product of those all-important first two primes and gives us another useful shape: the regular hexagon. This is an **optimum**, and used as such by both Nature (honeycombs) and engineers (nuts and bolts). A plane tessellation of regular hexagons gives bees and wasps the most efficient possible accommodation for their pupae ; a square nut is weak and an octagonal one slips in the wrench, but the hexagonal nut is just right.

MOD. 6



It is amusing to squeeze a pack of cigarettes and watch the hexagonal tessellation form itself as the area available gets reduced!



Chichester
Festival
Theatre


The optimal properties of the hexagon are not lost on some architects: the Festival Theatre possesses the presentational virtues of Shakespeare's "Globe" combined with the strength and economy of steel-frame construction using ordinary straight stock, and is a much-admired, successful theatre.

The modular set for six exhibits the equilateral triangle and the line; six also controls the location of prime numbers and could make quite a good number base. The square is lacking, however, and so we must seek a little further for the best possible.

SEVEN & ELEVEN


The gloom we saw gathering about five becomes fully established with primes greater than five as far as circle-division is concerned. It is not possible to find an exact construction for seven and eleven in the plane. The modular sets for these numbers show the pattern started with five: one convex polygon followed by a set of re-entrant star figures (dark stars?). A heptagonal curve-of-constant-width is used for coins by British decimalists: perhaps they hoped thereby to make such coinage interesting to people being deprived of the richness and beauty of £sd?

MOD. 7



The seven-day week arose from the Lunar cycle and accounts for the inclusion of seven as a factor in the larger English weights, which proceed in a binary fashion up to 4 lb, but then go to 7 lb, so that all the ensuing weights up to 1 ton are divisible by 7 as well as by 2. It makes sense if one is feeding animals or firing boilers, etc., and wishes to order fuel or food at a regular time in the working week, since the arithmetic is then easy. (The English ton is decimally 2240lb, dozenally *1368lb and is divisible by two, five and seven. 2lb per day = 1 stone/week).


MOD. 8




THREE CURATE'S EGGS

EIGHT, NINE AND TEN are good in parts: the modular set for eight gives the square and a line-segment; nine gives the regular trigon; ten (decim) yields a regular pentagon, a line and two stars. Eight thus shows two useful shapes, but there is little to choose between nine and ten on this criterion: each have two re-entrant figures and one useful shape. Admittedly, two is a more important prime factor than three; and ten accepts two. The overall weakness of the decimal base is, however, shown very clearly by this two-dimensional analysis: running one's gaze along the modular set for ten shows at a glance the paucity of useful relationships afforded by this number.


MOD. 8



MOD. 9



MOD. 7




ARRIVAL

As we proceed, regular polygons with increasing numbers of sides grow more and more to resemble the circle - which is indeed a regular polygon with an infinite number of sides - and to lose their very angularity. It has, however, been well worth coming this far! TWELVE is really a 'box of delights': the modular subjects of the regular dodecagon include those very shapes we know to be essential; and the dozen is the least number to do so.

The offspring of the dozen serve us well. Five of the six possible figures are convex polygons and four of these are essential to engineering and mathematics. If we omit the regular dodecagon itself (and it has significant properties, of which more in a later article), we get a ratio of four essential shapes to six possible. This two-thirds ratio is exceeded by six, which gives unity in this respect: **all** the shapes for six are basic; but six will not provide the necessary square, and so twelve is the key number.

MOD. *10 (ONE DOZEN)



Need we search any further for a rational,
serviceable number-base?
Can there possibly be a better?

The table below compares the modular-plane-figure content of each number up to one dozen.

Circle divisions	No. of figures in the set	No. of convex figures	No. of essential shapes
2	1	1	1
3	1	1	1
4	2	2	2
5	2	1	0
6	3	3	3
7	3	1	0
8	4	3	2
9	4	2	1
10	5	3	1
11	5	1	0
*12	6	5	4

The presence of a prime number is shown by a "1" in the third column. It can be argued that, apart from the circle itself, there are only four essential regular polygons for practical purposes. Twelve has them all.



The DSGB and DSA are separate organisations with a common aim: to draw attention to the advantages of a Dozen (or twelve-based) system for basic numeration and measurement. In this we are forwarding the opinions of a considerable number of philosophers and mathematicians - both past and present - who have contemplated the matter.